Supplementary material for LHCb-PAPER-2016-052

Figure 1 shows the signal mass resolution as a function of $m(\chi)$. It is obtained from the simulation and is scaled to the resolution observed in data for $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays from the normalization channel, where the scaling factor corresponds to $\sigma_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-}^{\text{DATA}} / \sigma_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-}^{\text{MC}} = 1.167$. The resolution is then interpolated in the entire mass range using cubic splines.

![Figure 1: Signal mass resolution as a function of $m(\chi)$. The black points show the resolution obtained from the simulation and scaled to the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ resolution observed in data; the red triangles show the resolution observed in data for $\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays. The signal resolution is interpolated using cubic splines: the central value is plotted with the solid blue line, while the error band is indicated by the two dashed blue lines.](image)
Figure 2: Top left: total efficiency as a function of the signal mass and lifetime. Fully-vetoed regions corresponding to the $K_S^0$, $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ are excluded from the plot. The vetoes which are applied in the prompt decay-time region of the $\phi$ and $\psi(4160)$ result in a drop of the efficiency at low lifetime. The structure around 1.8 GeV/$c^2$ corresponds to the additional particle identification requirements applied around the $D^0$ mass. Top right and bottom: contribution of each decay-time region to the total signal efficiency for several lifetime hypotheses as a function of mass. The plots show the product of the fraction of events in each decay-time region and the corresponding efficiency. Values are obtained from the simulation before applying any of the $\phi$, $K_S^0$, $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $\psi(3770)$ and $\psi(4160)$ vetoes.

Figure 3: Excluded branching fraction for the $B^+ \to K^+ \chi(\mu^+\mu^-)$ decay at the 95% CL for (left) several mass and (right) lifetime hypotheses. Regions corresponding to the fully-vetoed $K_S^0$, $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ and to the partially-vetoed $\phi$ and $\psi(4160)$ are excluded from the figure.
Figure 4: Excluded branching fraction for the $B^+ \to K^+ \chi (\mu^+ \mu^-)$ decay as a function of $m(\chi)$ and $\tau(\chi)$ at 90% CL. Regions corresponding to the fully-vetoed $K^0_S$, $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ and to the partially-vetoed $\phi$ and $\psi(4160)$ are excluded from the figure. All systematic uncertainties are included in the calculation of the upper limit.

Figure 5: Excluded branching fraction for the $B^+ \to K^+ \chi (\mu^+ \mu^-)$ decay at the 90% CL for (left) several mass and (right) lifetime hypotheses. Regions corresponding to the fully-vetoed $K^0_S$, $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ and to the partially-vetoed $\phi$ and $\psi(4160)$ are excluded from the figure.
Figure 6: Parameter space of the inflaton model described in Refs. [1–3]. The region excluded at 90% CL by this analysis is shown by the blue hatched area. Direct experimental constraints set by the CHARM experiment [4] and regions forbidden by theory or cosmological constraints [3] are also shown.
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